MEMORANDUM TO FILE

DATE: July 3, 2006

COUNCIL FILE NO.: 94-1100

RE: EXPIRED COUNCIL FILE

This memorandum notes the expiration of the accompanying Council file/contents; the file is deemed terminated (received and filed) and the subject matter contained herein is closed. No other action is forthcoming.

Pursuant to Council action of September 13, 2005, all Council files pending before the City Council, which have not been placed on a Council or Committee agenda for consideration for a period of two years or more, are deemed received and filed (CF 05-0553). City Clerk, Council File Index Section: 213.978.1044

Brian Walters
Legislative Assistant

cc: Index Section

O:\Docs\Reports\expfiles

J. MICHAEL CAREY
City Clerk

FRANK T. MARTINEZ
Executive Officer

When making inquiries relative to this matter refer to File No.

94-1100

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

JAMES K. HAHN
MAYOR

Office of the
CITY CLERK
Council and Public Services
Room 395, City Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Council File Information - (213) 978-1043
General Information - (213) 978-1133
Fax: (213) 978-1040

HELEN GINSBURG
Chief, Council and Public Services Division

September 12, 2002

PLACE IN FILES
SEP 17 2002
DEPUTY

Bureau of Sanitation
Chief Legislative Analyst
City Administrative Officer

RE: RECEIVING AND FILING A CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REPORT RELATIVE TO THE SANITATION OPERATIONS STRATEGY PLAN

At the meeting of the Council held September 3, 2002, the following action was taken:

Attached report adopted ..... _____
Attached motion (-) adopted..... _____
Attached resolution (-) adopted..... _____
Mayor concurred..... _____
FORTHWITH..... X
Motion adopted to approve report and communication recommendation... X
Motion adopted to approve communication recommendation(s)..... _____

J. Michael Carey

City Clerk
me

steno\941100

ad 9/17/02 SR 9/17/02

AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY – AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

Recyclable and made from recycled waste.

File No. 94-1100

TO THE COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Your PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
and
CHAIR, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS & WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

report as follows:

	Yes	No
Public Comments:	XXX	

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REPORT and COMMUNICATION FROM CHAIR, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE relative to the disposition of an inactive Council file.

Recommendation for Council action:

RECEIVE AND FILE a City Administrative Officer (CAO) report (No. 0610-01876-0085) relative to the Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan, inasmuch as the CAO reports that its report is old and no longer relevant.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Not applicable.

SUMMARY:

On May 5, 2002, the Public Works (PW) Committee considered the disposition of the above file and recommended that it be received and filed, inasmuch as no further action is required and no opposition was voiced at the meeting. On July 15, 2002, the Environmental Quality and Waste Management Committee concurred with the action of the PW Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

COUNCILMEMBER NATE HOLDEN, CHAIR
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY & WASTE
MANAGEMENT

& COMMUNICATION

MOTION ADOPTED TO APPROVE COMMITTEE REPORT RECOMMENDATION

ADOPTED

SEP 0 3 2002

CAL
8/23/02
#941100

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL
FORTHWITH

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

Report/~~Communication~~ for Signature

Council File Number 94-1100

Committee Meeting Date 5-8-02

Council Date ~~9~~ 9-3-02

COMMITTEE MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSENT
Councilmember Jan Perry, Chair	✓		
Councilmember Tom LaBonge	✓		
Councilmember Pacheco			✓

Remarks R&F

Cynthia Landis, Legislative Assistant ★★★★ Telephone 213-978-1074

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Report/Communication for Signature

Council File Number: 94-1100

Committee Meeting Date: 7-15-02

Council Date: 9-3-02

COMMITTEE MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSENT
Councilmember Nate Holden, Chair	✓		
Councilmember Galanter			✓
Councilmember Bernson			✓

Remarks Received and Filed

Ilene Shapiro, Legislative Assistant ---------- Telephone 978-1077

COUNCIL VOTE

Sep 3, 2002 10:22:48 AM, #4

Items for Which Public Hearings Have Been Held - Items 9-49
Voting on Item(s): ~~51-77~~ 9-16, 18-20, 22-24, 26-29, 32, 34-42, 44-49
Roll Call

BERNSON	Yes
GALANTER	Absent
GARCETTI	Yes
GREUEL	Yes
HAHN	Yes
HOLDEN	Yes
LABONGE	Yes
MISCIKOWSKI	Yes
PACHECO	Yes
PERRY	Yes
REYES	Yes
RIDLEY-THOMAS	Yes
WEISS	Yes
ZINE	Yes
*PADILLA	Yes

Present: 14, Yes: 14 No: 0

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

SUGGESTED NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL ACTION

Council File No. 94-1100

- ☐ Council Member(s) _____
- ☐ Petitioner/Communicant _____
- ☐ Board of Public Works (Mail Stop 465) _____
- ☐ Bureau of Accounting (Mail Stop 470) _____
- ☐ Bureau of Contract Administration (Mail Stop 480) _____
- ☐ Bureau of Engineering (Mail Stop 901) Land Development Group
- ☑ Bureau of Sanitation (Mail Stop 520) _____
- ☐ Bureau of Street Lighting (Mail Stop 545) _____
- ☐ Fire Department _____
- ☐ Police Department _____
- ☐ Controller _____
- ☐ Mayor (with/without file) _____
- ☑ Chief Legislative Analyst _____
- ☑ City Administrative Officer _____
- ☐ City Attorney (with / without file) _____
- ☐ City Clerk _____
- ☐ DOT, Liability Claims Management & Citywide Investigation Section (Mail Stop 725)
- ☐ _____
- ☐ _____

J. MICHAEL CAREY
City Clerk

FRANK T. MARTINEZ
Executive Officer

When making inquiries relative to this matter refer to File No.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

JAMES K. HAHN
MAYOR

Office of the
CITY CLERK
Council and Public Services
Room 395, City Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Council File Information - (213) 978-1043
General Information - (213) 978-1133
Fax: (213) 978-1040

HELEN GINSBURG
Chief, Council and Public Services Division

94-1100

April 12, 2002

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY & WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

In accordance with Council Rules, communication from the CAO relative to receiving and filing the following: status of Solid Waste Management Program, was referred on April 12, 2002, to the PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE and ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY & WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.

J. Michael Carey

City Clerk
amm

AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY – AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

Recyclable and made from recycled waste.

FORM GEN. 160

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

94-1100

0680-00016-0000

Date: *April 2, 2002*

To: The Public Works Committee

From: William T Fujioka, City Administrative Officer

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
2002 APR -3 AM 8:57
CITY CLERK
BY
DEPUTY

Subject: **COUNCIL FILES WHICH CAN BE RECEIVED AND FILED**

Based on discussions at a March 7, 2002 meeting to review open Council Files that have been referred to the Public Works Committee, and further investigation by my staff, I recommend that the Council Files listed below be Received and Filed by the Committee and the Council:

C. F. #	Subject	Recommendation
01-0227	Add $3.1 M to Operation Clean Sweep 2001-02 Budget	**Receive & File** - This funding was included in the 2001-02 Proposed Budget by the Mayor – no CAO report requested
98-0600-S26	Previous Reports on Funding Sidewalk Repairs	**Receive & File** - CAO report submitted for info only
96-0724	Wastewater Franchise Fee	**Receive & File -**. No longer relevant - fee will be phased out as of June 30, 2002 - no CAO report requested
95-2394	Motions Relative to Sidewalk Repair Program	**Receive & File** - Program adopted under CF 94-1568 - no CAO report requested
95-2352-S2	Move Vehicle Maintenance from DGS to Sanitation	**Receive & File** – CAO report does not recommend move – response to isolated incident
95-2370 & 95-1946	Assessment and cost sharing to maximize sidewalk repair	**Receive & File** – CAO report provides information only as to options available pre-Prop 218
94-1100	Status of Solid Waste Management Program	**Receive & File** - CAO report recommends adoption of Sanitation program, but file is old and no longer relevant
89-1051	Funds for Revolving Sidewalk Repair Fund	**Receive & File** – Fund established under CF 94-1568 (See also 95-2394) - CAO reports on file

If you have questions regarding the above recommendations, please call Dee Carey at 485-2831.

WTF:DC:vnn:39175C

PUBLIC WORKS
ENV QUAL & WASTE MGT
APR 12 2002

PRINT-VIEW RECORD(S)

Record 1 of 1

DOCID
94-1100
STATUS
O
CHNGDATE
1/1/75
TITLE
94-1100
AUTHOR
Alarcon Mover 1994 - Chick
SUBJECT
Mo - THEREFORE MOVE that Department of Public Works and CAO be directed to report to a joint PW & EQ&WM Comts within 30 days on a description and status of the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy Plan (CiSWMPP). FURTHER MOVE that DPW and CAO be directed to report to joint PW & EQ&WM Comts within 30 days as to whether the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy Plan is consistent with the draft City Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan (SOSP)
DATEREC
6/22/94
ACTIONS
6-22-94 - This days Ccl session - File to Cal Clk for placement on next reg Ccl agenda
6-29-94 - Mo ADOPTED
7-6-94 - File in files
8-18-94 - For ref - CAO 0610-01876-0085 - Req Ccl, in response to Council Motion (Alarcon - Chick) approve the Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan
8-19-94 - Referred to PW and EQ&WM Comts
8-22-94 - File to PW Comt Clk

Simple Search | Advanced Search

PRINT-VIEW RECORD(S)

Retrieval software: DB/Text *WebPublisher*, provided by INMAGIC

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY - OCTOBER 12, 1994

2:00 P.M. - ROOM 252 - CITY HALL

MEMBERS: COUNCILMEMBER RICHARD ALARCÓN, Chair
COUNCILMEMBER JACKIE GOLDBERG
COUNCILMEMBER RITA WALTERS

(Legislative Assistant II - Chauncey B. Pruner 485-5732)

Council Committee Meetings are now audiocast via "Council Phone". In Los Angeles, Call (213) 621-CITY, or check your local telephone listing for the "Council Phone" number applicable for your area.

FILE NO. SUBJECT

(1)

94-1100 In response to the Motion (Alarcón - Chick) the City Administrative Officer submits report relative to the description and status of the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy Plan (CiSWMPP), and as to whether the CiSWMPP is consistent with the draft Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan (SOSP).

DISPOSITION Continued to 3-8-95

(2)

94-1080 Consideration of Motion (Alarcón - Goldberg) requesting that the Department of Public Works review the City's automated trash collection transportation system and report on the efficiencies and the environmental quality improvement that can be achieved by readjusting and reconfiguring the trash collection transportation systems.

DISPOSITION

(3)

94-1077 Consideration of Motion (Alarcón - Alatorre) requesting services of a consultant to evaluate and report within 60 days on all possible revenue sources contained in the City's overall waste management system.

DISPOSITION_____

(4)

92-0880
CD 2 Library Department submits proposed ordinance authorizing execution of a Reciprocal Easement Agreement for mutual use of parking areas (Shopping Center and Library) in connection with land acquisition for the construction of a new Panorama City Branch Library at present site.

DISPOSITION_____

DEPARTMENTS SUBMITTING WRITTEN REPORTS OR MATERIALS DURING THE COMMITTEE MEETING MUST PROVIDE COPIES TO EACH COMMITTEE MEMBER AND TWO ADDITIONAL COPIES TO THE CITY CLERK - ONE COPY FOR THE COUNCIL FILE AND THE SECOND COPY TO BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION DURING THE MEETING

cmtagend/pw1012a.agd

AGENDA ITEM - C.F. No. 94-1100

BARBARA FINE, VICE CHAIR, SWCAG
1614 BENEDICT CYN. DR.
BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF. 90210
(310) 550-0932

MICHAEL JIMENEZ

ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVIST

Item #1

435 10th Street SM 90401

ELIAS MARTINEZ
City Clerk

J. Michael Carey
Executive Officer

When making inquiries relative to this matter refer to File No.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

RICHARD J. RIORDAN
MAYOR

Office of
CITY CLERK
Council and Public Services
Room 395, City Hall
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Council File Information - (213) 485-5703
General Information - (213) 485-5705

Pat Healy
Chief Legislative Assistant

94-1100

August 19, 1994

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

In accordance with Council Rules, communication from the City Administrative Office relative to Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan, was referred on August 19, 1994, to the PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE and ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.

Elias Martinez
City Clerk
amm

AN EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY – AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER Recyclable and made from recycled waste.

FORM GEN. 160 (Rev. 6-80)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

0610-01876-0085

Date: August 17, 1994

To: Public Works Committee
Environmental Quality and Waste Management Committee

From: Keith Comrie, City Administrative Officer

Subject: **SANITATION OPERATIONS STRATEGY PLAN**

The following responds to a Council Motion (Alarcon-Chick, C.F. 94-1100) directing the Department of Public Works and the City Administrative Officer to report to a joint Public Works Committee and Environmental Quality and Waste Management Committee on a description and status of the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy Plan (CiSWMPP), and whether the CiSWMPP is consistent with the draft Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan.

Our review indicates that these Plans are consistent from a policy standpoint. Further review of cost data is being conducted and will be the subject of a subsequent report.

Background

City Solid Waste Management Policy Plan

The City last prepared a solid waste management plan in 1972 as an element of the General Plan. The element served as a guide in the City's landfill site acquisition program and refuse disposal operations and focused on landfills for disposal of City collected refuse.

In January 1988, a study conducted by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts and the Bureau of Sanitation projected insufficient local landfill capacity beginning in the early 1990's. Following that study in July 1988, the Bureau began preparation of the City Solid Waste Management Policy Plan (CiSWMPP) to respond to the filling and closure of existing landfills, population growth and increased opposition to landfills in populated areas.

The CiSWMPP is a thirty year plan for managing the City's solid waste programs, no longer relying on local landfills as the single solution. The Plan includes a 70 percent reduction in solid waste landfilled by the year 2020, which exceeds State mandated goals of 50 percent, and provides guidance in revisions to the General Plan in siting solid waste facilities. Solid waste diversion is accomplished through a combination of source reduction, recycling and composting programs. The Plan is comprised of goals, objectives and policies as detailed in Attachment 1. The five basic goals are:

- Maximum Waste Diversion
- Adequate Recycling Facility Development
- Adequate Collection, Transfer, and Disposal of Mixed Solid Waste
- An Environmentally Sound System
- A Cost-Effective Solid Waste Management System

PUBLIC WORKS
ENV QUAL & WASTE MGT
AUG 19 1994

Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan

The Lopez Canyon Landfill is currently operating under Conditional Use (CUP) and Solid Waste Facilities (SWFP) Permits which expire on February 4, 1996. On June 6, 1994, the Bureau of Sanitation submitted a Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan (SOSP) to the Board of Public Works for short-term options for solid waste disposal from 1996 to 2000, which is the approximate remaining useful life of the landfill. The options basically include either continued use of Lopez Canyon Landfill through a new CUP and SWFP, or closure of the landfill and expanded use of other local and/or remote disposal facilities (see Attachment 2). The SOSP has been taken under advisement by the Board pending receipt of Request For Proposals (RFP) to dispose of the refuse currently disposed at the Lopez Canyon Landfill.

Council Motion

We have reviewed both the CiSWMPP and the SOSP and believe that they are consistent. The CiSWMPP, Objective 3.3-Disposal Facilities, provides that it is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to identify, evaluate, and secure by the year 2000 adequate disposal capacity to accommodate projected waste requiring disposal to the year 2020 with an optional reserve capacity for an additional 20 years. Policies 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 pertaining to that Objective provide for local and remote disposal capacity. No specific landfill(s) is mentioned. The SOSP addresses short term disposal options through the end of the century and is consistent with the CiSWMPP, which requires that adequate disposal facilities be identified.

Considerable attention has been given to Lopez Canyon Landfill operating costs, especially as it applies to the future and in comparison to other alternatives, e.g. rail haul to remote landfills. The Bureau advises that it has retained the services of a private accounting firm to audit and report on past expenditures and to assist in developing an estimate as to future costs. A draft report of prior expenditures should be available within four weeks. An estimate of future costs, in addition to responses from the RFPs and RFBs, should be available in late October 1994.

Recommendation

Note and file.

RRH:ocm

Chapter 3
Goals, Objectives, and Policies

This chapter presents the goals, objectives, and policies for managing the City's solid waste for the next 30 years.

A goal is a direction-setter; it is a general expression of the City's values and therefore, abstract in nature. Consequently, a goal is generally not quantifiable nor is it time-dependent or suggestive of specific actions for its achievement.

An objective is a specific intermediate step toward attaining a goal and should be achievable and, when possible, measurable and time-specific. An objective may only pertain to one particular aspect of a goal or it may be one of several successive steps toward goal achievement. Consequently, a goal may have more than one objective.

A policy is a specific, unambiguous statement that guides decisionmaking and indicates a clear commitment on the part of the local legislative body. Policies are based on goals and objectives as well as existing conditions. The proposed CiSWMPP policies provide both solid direction about future waste management practices in the City and guidance in developing and implementing various programs involving source reduction, recycling, composting, collection, transfer, processing, and disposal.

Considerable effort was expended in the development of these goals, objectives, and policies. Numerous internal meetings and draft text reviews took place with representatives of the Board of Public Works, the Bureau of Sanitation, the Integrated Solid Waste Management Office (ISWMO), the Department of Planning, and the Solid Waste Citizens Advisory Group.

Each of the remaining chapters in Part II focuses on one goal and its objectives and policies. Programs that support the policies are summarized. Detailed description of all programs related to source reduction, recycling, and composting can be found in the City's Source Reduction and Recycling Element (SRRE), which is available for review at the ISWMO.

Goal I: Maximum Waste Diversion

Maximum Waste Diversion—To create an integrated solid waste management system that maximizes source reduction and materials recovery and minimizes the amount of waste requiring disposal.

Objective 1.1—25 Percent Diversion by Year 1995

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to comply with state law and, using 1990 baseline data, to divert at least 25 percent of the total City solid waste stream from reaching final disposal facilities by the year 1995.

Policies

1.1.1 The California Integrated Waste Management Act Requirements. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the priorities established by state law and the Source Reduction and Recycling Element, when adopted, shall be considered the minimum baseline requirements when formulating plans, programs, and projects for the integrated solid waste management system.

1.1.2 City Department Diversion Policy. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City, through all City departments and agencies, shall take a leadership role in source reduction, recycling, and composting and serve as a model for other government agencies, institutions, businesses, and consumers.

1.1.3 Curbside Recycling Policy. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall provide for the collection of recyclables (including but not limited to newspapers, corrugated containers, aluminum, tin, glass, and plastic beverage containers) from the curbside of all households it services.

1.1.4 Yard Trimmings Policy. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall provide programs for all serviced households in the reduction and/or separation of yard trimmings, and ensure the processing of these materials for reuse.

1.1.5 Commercial Generator Diversion Policy. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall identify targeted large commercial generators of potentially significant amounts of recyclable materials and develop guidelines for source reduction, recycling, and composting programs for these generators.

1.1.6 Technical Assistance. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City, through all its departments, shall provide technical assistance for program development to other government agencies, institutions, businesses, residential developments, and consumers to maximize source reduction, recycling, and composting.

1.1.7 City Contractual Requirements. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City, through all its departments, shall encourage source reduction, recycling, and composting and the use of recycled materials through its contractual relationships with vendors, other government agencies, and other contractors.

1.1.8 Education and Promotion. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City, through all its departments, shall provide extensive public education to government agencies, public and private institutions, businesses, consumers, and residents on a continuous basis to encourage their participation in source reduction, recycling, and composting programs.

1.1.9 New Projects and Developments. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that significant new projects or developments address solid waste management issues, which will include source reduction, recycling and recycling space allocation, and composting, as part of the environmental review process and any discretionary action.

1.1.10 Public Involvement Program. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall develop and maintain a continuing public involvement program for implementation of its programs, which shall include but not be limited to interacting with advisory committees, developing and disseminating public information, and conducting community meetings and workshops.

1.1.11 Material Recovery at Transfer Stations and Landfills. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require the recovery of recyclables at all transfer stations and landfills under the control of the City or with which the City has a contractual relationship.

1.1.12 Legislation. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall actively promote and/or draft City, state, and federal legislation supporting goals and objectives of the Source Reduction and Recycling Element and the City Solid Waste Management Policy Plan.

1.1.13 Private Hauler Requirements. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require all private haulers of solid waste within the City to submit reports annually to the City regarding disposal and diversion tonnages collected from their customers.

1.1.14 Data Base Development. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall develop and maintain a data base of generators and all recycling and solid waste facilities including information on existing waste generation, source reduction, recycling, composting, market development, and waste disposal.

Objective 1.2—50 Percent Diversion by Year 2000

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to comply with state law and, using 1990 baseline data, to divert at least 50 percent of the total City solid waste stream from reaching final disposal facilities by the year 2000.

Policies

1.2.1 Program Enhancement. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall continue to expand upon the policies and programs included under Objective 1.1.

1.2.2 Additional Commercial Generator Diversion Programs. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City may require certain targeted large and medium generators of potentially significant amounts of recyclable materials to submit for approval and implement a source reduction, recycling, and composting program if City recycling goals are not being met.

Objective 1.3—70 Percent Diversion by Year 2020

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to comply with state law and, using 1990 baseline data, to divert at least 70 percent of the total City solid waste stream from reaching final disposal facilities by the year 2020.

Policies

1.3.1 Program Enhancement. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall continue to expand upon the policies and programs included under Objectives 1.1 and 1.2.

1.3.2 Innovative Technologies. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall encourage the development of and implement feasible innovative methods of waste reduction, recycling, and composting to achieve diversion goals.

1.3.3 Disposal Bans. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City may require certain designated recyclables to be diverted from reaching final disposal facilities if such requirements are necessary to reach the 70 percent diversion goal.

Goal 2: Adequate Recycling Facility Development

Adequate Recycling Facility Development—To expand the siting of facilities that enhance waste reduction, recycling, and composting throughout the City beyond the current limits of the zoning code in ways that are economically, socially, and politically acceptable.

Objective 2.1—Facility Requirements

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to ensure that reduction, recycling, and composting facilities, needed to comply with state law and City diversion objectives, are developed and equitably distributed throughout the City.

Policies

2.1.1 Material Recovery Facilities. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall obtain services to process and market recyclable materials collected as part of its Curbside Collection Program.

2.1.2 Compost Facilities. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall obtain composting services to process and market yard trimmings collected as part of its yard trimmings collection and/or drop-off programs.

2.1.3 Private Recycling Facilities. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall provide technical assistance to encourage private sector development and operation of a mixture of recycling facilities to accept, transfer, process, and/or market recyclables and/or yard trimmings collected by private haulers. These facilities should be equitably distributed throughout the diverse communities within the City.

Objective 2.2—Zoning and Permit Issues

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to review facility siting requirements to encourage citywide development of reduction, recycling, and composting facilities.

Policies

2.2.1 Development Priorities. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the siting of reduction, recycling, and composting facilities be a priority and issues are addressed such as proper siting, economic feasibility, and community scale.

2.2.2 Zoning Code Modifications. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall review each type of recycling facility, determine the zoning requirements, and add these facilities into the Zoning Code.

2.2.3 Conditional Use Permits. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall use the Conditional Use Permit process for siting reduction, recycling, and composting facilities in zones other than those in which these facilities are permitted by right, if these facilities are deemed a public benefit. A project for the public benefit will be defined as any reduction, recycling, or composting facility that is designed to service the surrounding residential, commercial/industrial, or governmental waste generators in a manner that minimizes adverse environmental impacts.

Goal 3: Adequate Collection, Transfer, and Disposal of Mixed Solid Waste

Adequate Collection, Transfer, and Disposal of Mixed Solid Waste—The City shall ensure that all mixed solid waste that cannot be reduced, recycled, or composted will be collected, transferred, and disposed of in a manner that minimizes adverse environmental impacts.

Objective 3.1—Collection Services

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles that all waste generators within the City of Los Angeles shall have access to adequate collection services for solid waste remaining after source reduction, recycling, and composting activities.

Policies

3.1.1 Weekly Collection. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall ensure that, at a minimum, weekly collection services are available to all residents within the City.

3.1.2 Collection for Bulky Waste. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall ensure that special collection services for bulky waste are available and ensure maximum diversion and reuse of these materials.

3.1.3 On-Call Collection for Dead Animals. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall ensure that special collection services are available for dead animals.

3.1.4 Private Collection. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall allow private haulers and recyclers to continue to operate competitively in a free market system if a cooperative effort is made towards meeting the City's diversion goals.

Objective 3.2—Transfer Facilities

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to ensure that adequate transfer facilities are available, close to waste generation centers, to minimize travel and provide a cost-effective system for mixed solid waste collection, transfer, and disposal.

Policies

3.2.1 Transfer Facilities. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall obtain services for mixed solid waste and separated yard trimmings in each refuse collection district. Contracts shall take into consideration the diversion objectives of the City.

3.2.2 Conditional Use Process. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall use the Conditional Use Permit process for siting transfer facilities in zones other than those in which these facilities are permitted by right, if these facilities are construed as a "public benefit."

3.2.3 Technical Assistance. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall provide technical assistance to encourage private sector development and operation of transfer facilities for waste collected by private haulers.

Objective 3.3—Disposal Facilities

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to identify, evaluate, and secure by the year 2000 adequate disposal capacity to accommodate projected waste requiring disposal to the year 2020 with an optional reserve capacity in the year 2020 for 20 years of additional disposal. Waste requiring disposal shall be calculated assuming achievement of Goal 1.

Policies

3.3.1 Local Disposal. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall work closely with the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts, other jurisdictions, and private firms to identify and secure additional disposal capacity in and/or outside the county to meet the needs of the City of Los Angeles.

3.3.2 Remote Disposal. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall have the ability to transport either by rail or truck a portion of the waste requiring disposal after diversion to remote locations outside the County of Los Angeles, provided such disposal is environmentally safe, technically feasible, and publicly acceptable.

3.3.3 Other Disposal Methods. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall evaluate the development of other programs for innovative methods other than landfilling for disposing of solid waste.

Objective 3.4—Special Waste

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to develop programs for targeted special waste to reduce the amount that is landfilled, to increase its beneficial use, and to reduce hazards associated with its disposal.

Policies

3.4.1 Tires. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall maximize the diversion of tires from disposal facilities and promote the safe handling, storage, processing, and reuse of used tires.

3.4.2 Medical Waste. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that all facilities located within the City that generate medical waste shall comply with current regulations regarding handling, treatment, and disposal of medical waste.

3.4.3 Asbestos. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require all generators of asbestos to comply with existing regulations regarding asbestos handling and disposal.

3.4.4 Ash. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall maximize the diversion of ash generated at City facilities.

3.4.5 Biosolids. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall maximize the beneficial use of biosolids generated at City facilities.

Goal 4: An Environmentally Sound System

An Environmentally Sound System—To develop an environmentally sound solid waste management system that protects public health and safety, protects natural resources, and minimizes adverse environmental impacts.

Objective 4.1—Facility Performance Standards

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles that facilities will be sited and its integrated waste management system, including source reduction, recycling, composting, and disposal programs, will operate to minimize adverse environmental impacts.

Policies

4.1.1 Transportation/Access. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities are sited, designed, and operated so as to minimize potential adverse impacts to the existing level of service on access roads.

4.1.2 Noise Impacts. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities be sited, constructed, and operated to comply with applicable regulations and not to exceed the sound levels outlined in Chapter XI, Section 111.03 of the Municipal Code for the City of Los Angeles.

4.1.3 Air Quality. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities be sited, constructed, and operated so as to minimize potential adverse impacts to the air quality in the Los Angeles Basin.

4.1.4 Water Use/Quality. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall site and design facilities to minimize potential adverse impacts from surface water runoff from the site and/or infiltration of potentially contaminated water into underlying aquifers, while allowing, in the design, potential for maximum permeation of uncontaminated runoff to reduce storm water impacts.

4.1.5 Health and Safety Impacts. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities within the City be designed, constructed, and operated to minimize adverse health and safety impacts on employees and the public and to minimize the risk of operational upset.

4.1.6 Visual and Aesthetic Compatibility. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities sited, constructed, and operated within the City be visually and aesthetically compatible with the surrounding community and minimize adverse impacts from light and glare.

4.1.7 Geological Factors. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities be sited and designed to address geological factors including but not limited to topography, soil characteristics, and geological hazards.

4.1.8 Odor Control. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities be designed, constructed, and operated to minimize the generation of objectionable odors.

4.1.9 Plant and Animal Life Protection. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities be sited, designed, constructed, and operated to minimize adverse impacts upon plant and animal life in the surrounding community.

4.1.10 Particulate Matter Control. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall require that public and private facilities be operated to minimize the amount of particulate matter emitted through earth moving activities.

Objective 4.2—Reduced Collection-Vehicle Emissions

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to effect a reduction in vehicle emissions through maintenance activities, the use of alternative fuels, and reduction in vehicle miles of travel.

Policies

4.2.1 Maintenance. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall effect a reduction in emissions from its vehicles by continuing and improving scheduled maintenance and inspection programs.

4.2.2 Alternative Fuels. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall support the development and use of equipment powered by substitute fuels such as methanol, natural gas, and/or electricity as a replacement for internal combustion equipment and the necessary infrastructure for fueling and maintenance.

4.2.3 Vehicle Capacity. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall advocate the use of high capacity transfer vehicles for transporting waste and maximizing the capacity of vehicles used for the City's Curbside Collection Program to minimize vehicle miles of travel.

Objective 4.3—Disaster Plan

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to have a comprehensive disaster plan that will address methods for collection and disposal of large quantities of waste generated from natural or manmade disasters.

Policies

4.3.1 Develop a Disaster Plan. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall develop a disaster plan that addresses solid waste issues in case of natural or manmade disaster. The plan will address collection, transportation, temporary storage, and final disposal.

Objective 4.4—Community Involvement

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to promote active community involvement, to review existing or proposed plans, programs, and projects, to ensure that the solid waste management system is environmentally sound and protects public health and safety, protects natural resources, maximizes diversion goals, and utilizes the best available technology to accommodate the needs of the City.

Policies

4.4.1 Advisory Committee. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall maintain a citizens' advisory committee to review, monitor, and provide input on solid waste management issues.

4.4.2 Public Reviews. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall ensure that the public has adequate opportunity to review plans, programs, and policies related to solid waste management issues.

Goal 5: A Cost-Effective Solid Waste Management System

A cost-effective Solid Waste Management System—The City shall operate a cost-effective integrated waste management system that emphasizes source reduction, recycling, reuse, and market development and is adequately financed to meet operational and maintenance needs.

Objective 5.1—Market Development

It is the objective of the City to actively promote market development to ensure that diverted materials are recovered and reused locally, if possible.

Policies

5.1.1 Contract Requirements. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City, through all its departments, shall encourage source reduction, market development, and use of recycled materials through its contractual relationships with vendors, other government agencies, and other contractors.

5.1.2 Materials Exchange. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall initiate and participate in materials waste exchanges to encourage source reduction, reuse, and recycling in fabrication, manufacturing, and production.

5.1.3 Education, Promotion, and Public Outreach. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall conduct market development and public outreach to provide extensive education to government agencies, public and private institutions, businesses, consumers, and residents to encourage market development and the use of recycled materials.

5.1.4 Innovative Technologies. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall encourage the development of and implementation of feasible innovative methods of market development and the use of recycled materials.

Objective 5.2—Economic Development

It is the objective of the City to create an environment that will be conducive to the creation and expansion of recycling-based businesses or businesses using recycled materials and to encourage additional businesses to introduce recycled material into their manufacturing process.

Policies

5.2.1 City Leadership. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that all City departments shall adopt recycling and the use of recycled materials as a City priority, incorporating this as standard procedure in the operation of all departments.

5.2.2 Overcoming Barriers to Business Startup or Expansion. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall seek ways to help site recycling businesses in areas that are convenient to sources of supply and markets and assist in making such businesses eligible for the fast-track process for obtaining City permits.

5.2.3 Financial Assistance. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall provide assistance in procuring financing to businesses that utilize post-consumer recyclables and/or which can assist the City in meeting its market and economic development goals.

5.2.4 Building Partnership Opportunities. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall build lines of communications with organizations such as Chambers of Commerce, nonprofit organizations, community-based organizations, major generators, public and private schools, and universities in an effort to build partnership opportunities to achieve diversion and recycling market development goals.

5.2.5 Pro-Business, Recycling-Friendly City. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall include publicity about the recycling and related business promotion and assistance activities carried out by responsible City agencies and will provide incentives to businesses using secondary materials in a responsible manner.

Objective 5.3—Monitoring and Evaluation

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to continually monitor and evaluate the cost and benefits of various programs and to modify programs as required to reduce costs and improve effectiveness.

Policies

5.3.1 Reporting Requirements. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that all City departments and agencies will report annually to the City the amount of waste disposed and diverted from disposal, costs of various waste management programs and revenues received, market development activities for the previous year, and plans for the next year. A cost analysis will be made, taking into consideration full operational costs and avoided disposal costs.

5.3.2 Plan Updates. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City, through the Board of Public Works, will prepare an annual report on solid waste management programs and the Source Reduction and Recycling Element will be updated as mandated by the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989.

Objective 5.4—Funding

It is the objective of the City of Los Angeles to ensure that adequate funds are available for an effective program for managing the City's solid waste and that costs are equitably distributed in proportion to services provided.

Policies

5.4.1 Fee Incentives. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that fees and other charges for services should serve as an incentive for generators to assist in meeting waste diversion goals through source reduction, recycling, and composting.

5.4.2 Financing. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that additional monies needed to support the City's Solid Waste Management Program be obtained from specific fees and charges for service or from the general fund.

5.4.3 Grants. It is the policy of the City of Los Angeles that the City shall continually pursue state and/or federal grants to support innovative solid waste management activities and to help fund the City's solid waste, recycling, and market development programs.

City Options for the Short-Term (1996-2000)

The Options presented in this section are based on the alternatives developed in the City Solid Waste Management Plan, Phases I, II, and III, and examined in the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report. Since the City has chosen to carry out the highest potential diversion objective, most of the potential solid waste will be diverted before disposal. The City will, however, still require disposal capacity for its remaining waste.

Disposal will take place only in Class III Municipal Solid Waste landfills. Other disposal options, such as waste-to-energy, are not being considered in the short term, and development of waste-to-energy facilities in locations accessible to the City of Los Angeles is not being pursued at this time. The options to be considered include the following:

Option A - Closure of Lopez Canyon Landfill. Continued and expanded use of local disposal facilities.

Option B - Closure of Lopez Canyon Landfill. Use of a combination of local and remote disposal facilities.

Option C - Continued use of Lopez Canyon Landfill through extension of the Conditional Use Permit and Solid Waste Facilities Permit.

The Bureau of Sanitation has projected the costs for each option based on the current prices paid for local disposal services and the proposals received for remote disposal. A Request For Bid (RFB) or Proposals (RFP) will be released to solicit refuse disposal bids based on a 10 to 30 year period beginning in January 1996. This RFB (or RFP) will invite any landfill disposal option, both local and remote, and will be based on the wastestream remaining after the City's maximum projected source reduction, recycling, and composting.

For all options, the Bureau of Sanitation will continue to dispose at Calabasas Landfill and utilize the Falcon transfer station to dispose solid waste collected in the Harbor District at BKK landfill in West Covina. The Calabasas Landfill, based on the levelized disposal fee proposed by the LACSD, should not exceed $25 per ton over this period. It is close to its prescribed wasteshed area which reduces travel time for the collection vehicles. The Harbor District is a small area connected to the rest of the City by a narrow corridor. Transfer stations are currently in operation in this area and should be the least-cost alternatives for the Harbor for all options. This would reduce the tonnage of refuse disposed in Lopez Canyon either by direct haul or truck transfer. The current contract with Falcon Transfer Station to BKK landfill is $31 per ton.

Draft

Option A: **No CUP extension of Lopez Canyon - Use Existing Locally Available Landfill Capacity**

This option would secure disposal capacity through contracts with transfer stations and/or public and private landfill operators within 100 miles of the City limits. The City currently designates the landfills used by the transfer facilities. The City could secure both transfer and disposal capacity from transfer stations or directly obtain disposal services from the landfills. The refuse would be directly hauled by collection vehicles or transferred to large trailers to facilities in or near the County of Los Angeles. Currently, landfills that could be utilized are Bradley, Calabasas, Chiquita Canyon, BKK and El Sobrante Landfills. Other landfills in Los Angeles and Orange County are not included because of the ordinances excluding City of Los Angeles waste disposal in these facilities.

Option A

Disposal Costs - 1996-2000

Year	Local Disposal		Calabasas Landfill		Harbor District		Total Disposal	Total Cost
	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per year	$ per year
1996	3,000	$92,400	660	$16,400	150	$4,600	989,000	$29,619,000
1997	2,700	$88,100	580	$14,500	130	$4,100	892,000	$27,850,000
1998	2,400	$82,700	500	$12,600	110	$3,500	792,000	$25,781,000
1999	2,100	$76,700	420	$10,600	100	$3,000	693,000	$23,551,000
2000	1,800	$69,500	340	$8,600	80	$2,400	591,000	$21,001,000
Total disposal cost for 1996-2000								$127,802,000

Please see Appendix A-iii for exact number; totals do not add up due to rounding

- Bradley Landfill will continue to be an option for disposal in the northern part of the City. The continuation of operations past 1999 is now in question, since Waste Management, Inc. intends to fill the site and establish a rail haul transfer facility on the property. However, no permits have been filed to make the transition from a landfill to a transfer facility.

- Calabasas Landfill is planning to operate until about 2014.

- Chiquita Canyon Landfill is able to operate, at the current inflow of 1,500 to 1,600 tons per day, until about 2000. The expansion, if approved, would provide sufficient capacity at 5,000 tons per day for over 20 years.

- The BKK Landfill will continue under the assumption that the facility will operate to the year 2006 until the controversy over the closure date is resolved with West Covina.

- The El Sobrante Landfill currently does not have the capacity for the 3,000 tons per day that is needed. However, the proposed expansion would make available enough capacity for City-collected waste.

No other local landfills are projected to be available through transfer services contracts than are available at this time. It would not be possible for the Bureau of Sanitation to continue its obligation to safely dispose of refuse if landfill capacity becomes unavailable before the end of the planning period.

The closure of Lopez Canyon will have two effects on solid waste disposal for both the Bureau of Sanitation and private haulers within the City. First, it is expected that prices will rise immediately following the closure of Lopez Canyon. This would result in higher disposal prices for all waste haulers and their customers. Secondly, the loss of 4,000 tons per day of capacity would force the Bureau of Sanitation to compete with other haulers for the limited remaining capacity. Costs at private disposal facilities are conservatively estimated to increase a minimum of five percent per year over the current fees. Adequate local disposal capacity past 1997 is not guaranteed under this option.

If Lopez Canyon is closed, the landfill capacity currently allocated to other City Departments would not be available. Therefore, those departments would have to increase their operating budgets by approximately $5 million per year to pay disposal costs at private facilities. This money is included in the total amount shown in the above table.

Option B: No CUP Extension of Lopez Canyon - Use Rail-Haul Services

The cost to the City for remote disposal of solid waste is estimated based on the proposals received by the County of Los Angeles in 1988 (with adjustment for inflation), response to City proposals (in 1990 dollars), an unsolicited bid received from one proponent, and conversations with individuals in private industry involved in these projects. The service to be provided by all the proponents is similar, and the costs are

comparable. After examination, the estimated total cost for transfer and disposal of waste in remote landfills would be approximately $50 per ton in 1994.

The Bureau of Sanitation is currently preparing a Request For Bid (RFB) and Request For Proposals (RFP) which will seek disposal of refuse for a 10 to 30 year term. The Bids (or Proposals) will be based on the estimated tonnage remaining in the Westside, East Valley, North Central, and South Central collection districts after maximum estimated source reduction, recycling and composting. The RFB (or RFP) would request both transfer station services for each collection district within the City and disposal capacity at remote landfill sites. Transfer stations within or near each collection district are required for the greatest collection efficiency, which will also reduce operating costs for the Bureau of Sanitation.

Although two proponents have permitted landfills, with one operational, rail-haul transfer facilities have yet to be operated in the City of Los Angeles. The rail-haul proponents have been hesitant to develop these facilities without disposal commitments from the City or County of Los Angeles.

Option B

Disposal Costs - 1996-2000

Year	Remote Disposal		Calabasas Landfill		Harbor District		Total Disposal	Total Cost
	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per year	$ per year
1996	3,000	$134,400	660	$16,400	150	$4,600	989,000	$35,066,000
1997	2,700	$126,600	580	$14,500	130	$4,100	892,000	$33,041,000
1998	2,400	$117,400	500	$12,600	110	$3,500	792,000	$30,654,000
1999	2,100	$107,500	420	$10,600	100	$3,000	693,000	$28,069,000
2000	1,800	$96,100	340	$8,600	80	$2,400	591,000	$25,094,000
Total disposal cost for 1996-2000								$151,924,000

Please see Appendix A-iii for exact number; totals do not add up due to rounding

The City would require contingency plans under this option for the interruption of service if the rail lines were damaged or a labor action took place. The closure of most area landfills by 1999 would force the City to be dependent on remote

disposal service as its only alternative. Emergency use of Lopez Canyon after closure may be possible if capacity remains upon closure in 1996.

Option C: Extension of the Lopez Canyon Landfill Conditional Use Permit (CUP)

In September 1993, the City began using 'C' canyon at Lopez Canyon Landfill. By the time of the permit expiration in 1996, an estimated $24 million will have been spent in capital development costs for 'C' canyon. The liner system in 'C' canyon meets all the new Subtitle 'D' regulations. Gas and water collection systems have been installed, and the gas flaring station was expanded to accommodate the landfill's expansion. These are total costs for development, and have been spent whether or not the permitted capacity is used.

Added to the capital improvement costs are costs for operations such as construction, monitoring, engineering, equipment and supplies purchase, and equipment maintenance. The following table shows the total capital and operating costs projected for 'C' canyon at Lopez during the CUP period. This total cost is divided by the projected total number of tons of refuse disposed in this canyon during the CUP period. The total estimated cost per ton for disposal in 'C' canyon to February 4, 1996, is $27.63 per ton (See Table C-1).

Option C

Disposal Costs - 1996-2000

Year	Lopez Canyon		Calabasas Landfill		Harbor District		Total Disposal	Total Cost
	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per day	Total Cost $ per day	Tons per year	$ per year
1996	3000	$36,500	660	$16,400	150	$4,600	989,000	$15,028,000
1997	2700	$38,000	580	$14,500	130	$4,100	892,000	$14,802,000
1998	2400	$39,700	500	$12,600	110	$3,500	792,000	$14,571,000
1999	2100	$41,500	420	$10,600	100	$3,000	693,000	$14,369,000
2000	1800	$43,300	340	$8,600	80	$2,400	591,000	$14,169,000
Total disposal cost for 1996-2000								$72,940,000

Please see Appendix A-iv for exact number; totals do not add up due to rounding

Table C-1

Lopez Canyon - Development and Operations Costs of 'C' canyon			
Item	**Total Cost from 2/4/91 to 2/4/96**	**Total Cost from 2/4/96 to 2/4/2001**	**Total Cost from 2/4/91 to 2/4/2001**
Capital Costs			
Flare Station	$965,000	---	$965,000
Phase I - Liner	$2,327,000	---	$2,327,000
Haul Road, Storm Drain, Debris Basin	$1,430,000	---	$1,430,000
Phase II - Liner	$3,628,000	---	$3,628,000
Engineering Design	$2,500,000	---	$2,500,000
Engineering Development/Closure Plan	$2,500,000	---	$2,500,000
Gas Piping	$500,000	---	$500,000
Closure Fund	$10,000,000	---	$10,000,000
Phase III Liner/Other		$1,655,000	$1,655,000
Operating Costs/Other			
Daily Cover Import	---	$2,210,000	$2,210,000
Equipment Purchase/Rental	$7,900,000	$3,315,000	$11,215,000
Solid Waste Mgmt. Division	$5,600,000	$9,946,000	$15,546,000
Refuse Disposal Division	$15,200,000	$23,208,000	$38,408,000
Equipment Maintenance	$6,000,000	$6,631,000	$12,631,000
Community Amenity Fund	$5,000,000	$5,000,000	$10,000,000
Total Cost (Capital & Operations)	$63,550,000	$51,965,000	$115,515,000
Total Estimated Tonnage	**2.3 million tons**	**3.0 million tons**	**5.3 million tons**
Total Cost Per Ton	**$27.63**	**$17.32**	**$21.80**

Based on the maximum permitted elevation of 1770 feet, an estimated three million tons of developed capacity will remain in 'C' canyon at the expiration of the CUP. The cost per ton for the extended CUP period reflects the fact that the majority of the capital expenditures have already occurred and almost all closure costs have been banked.

The previous Conditional Use Permit for Lopez Canyon was obtained in 18 months. After this permit was approved, an additional 30 months was needed to obtain the Solid Waste Facilities Permit (SWFP). The SWFP was revised on July 21, 1993, to allow disposal in 'C' canyon, but the expiration of the permit is tied to the expiration of the CUP on February 4, 1996. The Bureau of Sanitation's estimated time needed to renew the CUP and SWFP is 18 months. The technical documents have been completed and no major revisions will be requested to the permits other than the extension of the disposal period.

The total solid waste tonnage reflects the effect of source reduction, recycling, and composting programs. The 600 tons per day of refuse from other departments is included in the total of all options to equally examine costs.

Draft

Cost Comparison of Options

Year	Option A - Local Capacity ($)	Option B - Remote Capacity ($)	Option C - Lopez Canyon ($)
1996	$29,619,000	$35,066,000	$15,028,000
1997	$27,850,000	$33,041,000	$14,802,000
1998	$25,781,000	$30,654,000	$14,571,000
1999	$23,551,000	$28,069,000	$14,369,000
2000	$21,001,000	$25,094,000	$14,169,000
Total Cost 1996-2000	$127,802,000	$151,924,000	$72,940,000

Please see Appendix A-iii & iv for exact number; totals do not add up due to rounding

Cost savings to the City would be significant if Option C is selected. When comparing the cost of using Lopez Canyon Landfill to using other local landfills that accept City waste, the savings would be approximately $55 million over the five-year period, or approximately $11 million per year. The cost savings over disposal in remote landfills would be approximately $79 million for this period, or about $16 million per year.

ELIAS MARTINEZ
CITY CLERK

J. MICHAEL CAREY
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK
ROOM 395, CITY HALL
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 485-5708

RICHARD J. RIORDAN
MAYOR

PLA

JUL 0 6 1994

DEPUTY

94-1100

June 30, 1994

Councilmember Alarcón
Board of Public Works
Bureau of Sanitation
City Attorney
Environmental Quality & Waste
Management Comte Clerk

Councilmember Chick
City Engineer
City Administrative Officer
Public Works Comte Clerk

RE: STATUS OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT POLICY PLAN (CiSWMPP)

At the meeting of the Council held June 29, 1994, the following action was taken:

Attached report adopted	
Attached motion adopted (Alarcón - Chick)	X
Attached resolution adopted	
Ordinance adopted	
Motion adopted to approve attached report	
Motion adopted to approve attached communication	
To the Mayor for concurrence	
To the Mayor FORTHWITH	
Mayor concurred	
Findings adopted	
Negative Declaration adopted	
Categorically exempt	
Generally exempt	
Tract map approved for filing with the County Recorder	
Parcel map approved for filing with the County Recorder	
Attach a copy of follow-up Department Report to file	

Elias Martinez

City Clerk
jv
steno\941100

18

TO CITY CLERK FOR PLACEMENT ON NEXT REGULAR COUNCIL AGENDA TO BE POSTED #53 JUN 22 1994

MOTION

The Public Works Department and the CAO several years ago embarked on an effort to develop the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy (CiSWMPP), a 30-year policy and planning document that contains goals, objectives and policies to cover all aspects of solid waste management for the City of Los Angeles.

The City has recently launched a comprehensive review of our sanitation operations especially with regard to city-collected solid waste. Work is underway to develop, review and approve a Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan (SOSP) for City-collected Solid Waste Disposal.

The City Council's Public Works Committee has, since April 13, 1994 (CF 93-1494), been involved with an initiative which directs the Public Works Department to develop realistic alternative proposals based on current competitive figures relative to the Lopez Canyon Landfill and the Board of Public Works has also released a draft Request for Bids (RFB) and Request for Proposals (RFP) for private disposal for the City's collected solid waste beginning in 1996.

I THEREFORE MOVE that the Department of Public Works and the CAO be directed to report to a joint Public Works Committee and the Environmental Quality and Waste Management Committee within 30 days on a description and status of the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy Plan (CiSWMPP).

I FURTHER MOVE that the Department of Public Works and the CAO be directed to report to a joint Public Works Committee and the Environmental Quality and Waste Management Committee within 30 days as to whether the City of Los Angeles Solid Waste Management Policy Plan (CiSWMPP) is consistent with the draft City Sanitation Operations Strategy Plan (SOSP).

PRESENTED BY: Richard Alarcon
RICHARD ALARCÓN
Councilmember, 7th District

motion ADOPTED

JUN 29 1994

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

SECONDED BY: Laura Chick

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL
JUN 29 1994
ADOPTED

TIME: 10 34 15

AGENDA NO. 16-24 YES: 12 NO: 0 ABS: 3 06/29/94

ALARCON	YES	ALATORRE	YES	BERNSON	YES
BRAUDE	YES	CHICK	YES	GALANTER	YES
GOLDBERG	YES	HERNANDEZ	YES	SVORINICH	YES
WACHS	YES	WALTERS	YES	FERRARO	YES
HOLDEN	ABS	RIDLEY-THOMAS	ABS	YAROSLAVSKY	ABS

RECEIVED COUNCIL VOTION
JUN 29 1994
CITY CLERK LOS ANGELES