



AGENDA

LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

Wednesday, June 11, 2025

10:00 AM

JOHN FERRARO COUNCIL
CHAMBER
ROOM 340, CITY HALL
200 NORTH SPRING STREET, LOS
ANGELES, CA 90012

Submit written comment at
LACouncilComment.com

President
MARQUEECE HARRIS-DAWSON, Eighth
District

President Pro Tempore
BOB BLUMENFIELD, Third District

Assistant President Pro Tempore
NITHYA RAMAN, Fourth District

EUNISSES HERNANDEZ, First District

ADRIN NAZARIAN, Second District

KATY YAROSLAVSKY, Fifth District

IMELDA PADILLA, Sixth District

MONICA RODRIGUEZ, Seventh District

CURREN D. PRICE, JR., Ninth District

HEATHER HUTT, Tenth District

TRACI PARK, Eleventh District

JOHN S. LEE, Twelfth District

HUGO SOTO-MARTÍNEZ,
Thirteenth District

YSABEL JURADO, Fourteenth District

TIM McOSKER, Fifteenth District

PUBLIC COMMENT AND LIVE BROADCAST

The Council meetings will be broadcast live on Cable Television Channel 35, on the internet at <https://clerk.lacity.gov/calendar>, and on YouTube.

Written public comment may be submitted at LACouncilComment.com.

Requests for reasonable modification or accommodation from individuals with disabilities, consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act can be made by contacting the City Clerk's Office at (213) 978-1133. For Telecommunication Relay Services for the hearing impaired, please see the information below.

Telecommunication Relay Services

Telephone communication is one of the most important forms of communication in society today. Due to advancements in technology, telephone devices have evolved with new services and capabilities. Individuals who are deaf and hard of hearing, and individuals with a speech disability are following these trends and are rapidly migrating to more advanced telecommunications methods, both for peer-to-peer and third-party telecommunications relay service (TRS) communications. Telecommunications Relay Service is a telephone service that allows persons with hearing or speech disabilities to place and receive telephone calls. TRS is available in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. territories for local and/or long distance calls. TRS providers - generally telephone companies - are compensated for the costs of providing TRS from either a state or a federal fund. There is no cost to the TRS user.

What forms of TRS are available? There are several forms of TRS, depending on the particular needs of the user and the equipment available: TRS includes: Text to Voice TTY-Based TRS; Speech-to-Speech Relay Service; Shared Non-English Language Relay Service; Captioned Telephone Relay Service; Internet Protocol Relay Service; and Video Relay Service. Please visit this site for detail descriptions, <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>.

Don't hang up! Some people hang up on TRS calls because they think the CA is a telemarketer. If you hear, "Hello. This is the relay service ... " when you pick up the phone, please don't hang up! You are about to talk, through a TRS provider, to a person who is deaf, hard-of-hearing, or has a speech disability.

For more information about FCC programs to promote access to telecommunications services for people with disabilities, visit the FCC's Disability Rights Office website.

SE OFRECE UN SERVICIO DE TRADUCCIÓN AL ESPAÑOL EN TODAS LAS REUNIONES DEL CONSEJO MUNICIPAL

Spanish language interpretation is available at all Council and Committee meetings. Interpretation services in additional languages are available upon request, at no cost. Please submit your request to clerk.interpretation@lacity.org as soon as possible to allow time for scheduling. You will receive a confirmation reply if an interpreter is available.

BASIC CITY COUNCIL MEETING RULES

AGENDAS - The City Council meets Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday at 10:00 A.M. The agendas for City Council meetings contain a brief general description of those items to be considered at the meetings. Council Agendas are available in the Office of the City Clerk, Council and Public Services Division, Room 395, City Hall, 200 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, and on the City's website at lacity.gov or lacouncilcalendar.com.

Ten (10) members of the Council constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The Council may consider an item not listed on the agenda only if it is determined by a two-thirds (10) vote that the need for action arose after the posting of an Agenda. Some items on the agenda may be approved without any discussion, however, any item may be called "special" by a Councilmember. If an item is called "special" it will be "held" until the remainder of the items on the Council agenda have been acted on by the Council. An item may also be called "special" if a member of the public has requested to speak on the item and a public hearing was not previously held.

The City Clerk will announce the items to be considered by the Council, however items will be grouped. For example, all items for which required public hearings have not previously been held are listed in one section on the printed agenda. The Council President will ask if any Councilmember or member of the public wishes to speak on one or more of these items. If anyone wishes to speak on an item, it will be called "special". The remaining items in this section will be voted on by Council with one roll call vote.

PUBLIC INPUT AT CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS - An opportunity for the public to address the Council on agenda items for which public hearings have not been held will be provided at the time the item is considered or during the Multiple Agenda Item Comment period. Members of the public who wish to speak on items shall be allowed to speak for up to one minute per item up to a total of three minutes per meeting. The Council has determined that a cumulative total of 20 minutes is a reasonable minimum amount of time for the Multiple Agenda Item segment of each regular meeting.

The Council will also provide an opportunity for the public to speak on public interest items. Each speaker shall be limited to one minute of general public comment each regular meeting for a cumulative total of ten (10) minutes. The Council shall not discuss or take action relative to any general public comment.

If you wish to provide documents to the full Council for consideration on an item, please present the Sergeant-At-Arms with 35 copies. Otherwise, your materials will simply be added to the official record.

NOTICE TO PAID REPRESENTATIVES - If you are compensated to monitor, attend, or speak at this meeting, City law may require you to register as a lobbyist and report your activity. See Los Angeles Municipal Code 48.01 et seq. More information is available at ethics.lacity.org/lobbying. For assistance, please contact the Ethics Commission at (213) 978-1960 or ethics.commission@lacity.org.

COUNCIL DISCUSSION AND TIME LIMITS - Councilmembers requesting to address the Council will be recognized by the Council President in the order requested. For any item, the Chairperson of the Committee, or the maker of the original motion, or the member calling a matter "special" shall have up to six (6) minutes to discuss the item. All other Councilmembers may speak up to three (3) minutes each on the matter. After all members desiring to speak on a question have had an opportunity to be heard once, the time for each Member desiring to speak again shall be limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes.

A motion calling the "previous question" may be introduced by any member during a Council debate. If adopted, this motion will terminate debate on a matter and the Chair will instruct the Clerk to call the roll on the matter.

VOTING AND DISPOSITION OF ITEMS - Most items require a majority vote of the entire membership of the Council (8 members). Items which have not been discussed in a Council Committee and have been placed directly on the agenda will require 10 votes to consider. Once considered, these items will normally require eight (8) affirmative votes to be adopted. Ordinances require a unanimous vote (at least 12 members must be present) in order to be adopted on first consideration. If an ordinance does not receive the necessary unanimous vote, it is laid over one calendar week. The votes required for approval on second consideration vary and depend upon the type of ordinance, but a typical ordinance requires eight (8) affirmative votes upon second consideration.

When debate on an item is completed, the Chair will instruct the Clerk to "call the roll". Every member present must vote for or against each item; abstentions are not permitted. The Clerk will announce the votes on each item. Any member of Council may move to "reconsider" any vote on any item on the agenda, except to adjourn, suspend the Rules, or where an intervening event has deprived the Council of jurisdiction, providing that said member originally voted on the prevailing side of the item. The motion to "reconsider" shall only be in order once during the meeting, and once during the next regular meeting. The member requesting reconsideration shall identify for all members present the agenda number, Council file number and subject matter previously voted upon. A motion to reconsider is not debatable and shall require an affirmative vote of eight (8) members of the Council.

When the Council has failed by sufficient votes to approve or reject an item, and has not lost jurisdiction over the matter, or has not caused it to be continued beyond the next regular meeting, the item is continued to the next regular meeting for the purpose of allowing the Council to again vote on the matter.

The City Council rules provide that all items adopted by the Council will not be presented to the Mayor, or other designated officer by the City Clerk until the adjournment of the regular Council meeting following the date of the Council action. A motion to send an item "forthwith" if adopted by ten (10) votes, suspends these rules and requires the City Clerk to forward the matter to the Mayor, or other officer, without delay.

RULE 16 MOTIONS - Council Rule No. 16, in part, allows a member to send an item directly to the Council without it having to go to a Council Committee first, by giving the City Clerk a motion (seconded by an additional member) during a Council session to be placed on the next regular available Council agenda.

Los Angeles City Council Agenda

Wednesday, June 11, 2025

**JOHN FERRARO COUNCIL CHAMBER ROOM 340, CITY HALL 200 NORTH SPRING STREET,
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 - 10:00 AM**

Roll Call

Approval of the Minutes

Commendatory Resolutions, Introductions and Presentations

Multiple Agenda Item Comment

Public Testimony of Non-agenda Items Within Jurisdiction of Council

Items Noticed for Public Hearing

(1) 24-1029

EXEMPTION, COMMUNICATION FROM THE CITY ATTORNEY and ORDINANCE FIRST CONSIDERATION relative to the Fiscal Year (FY) 2025-26 Proposition K Assessment.

Recommendations for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. ACKNOWLEDGE that the City Council's action is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Article II, Section 2.i. of the City's CEQA guidelines, because the underlying project has already been evaluated and processed in accordance with the City CEQA Guidelines, with respect to the project(s) in List 3 of Attachment 8 of the L.A. or Kids Steering

Committee (LAFKSC) 2025-26 Proposition K Assessment Report dated April 11, 2025 (LAFKSC Report), attached to the Council file.

2. ACKNOWLEDGE that these are projects that may be considered for funding after CEQA compliance, and no further action is required at this time, with respect to the project(s) in List 5 of Attachment 8 of said LAFKSC Report.
3. PRESENT and ADOPT the accompanying ORDINANCE Confirming the Assessment, dated June 5, 2025, as prepared and transmitted by the City Attorney, confirming the assessments and approving the diagram for the District for FY 2025-26.
4. INSTRUCT the City Engineer to record a Notice of Assessment with the Los Angeles County Recorder.
5. APPROVE the 2025-26 Two-Year Plan for the L.A. for Kids Program and related schedules, as set forth in Attachments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 of said LAFKSC Report.
6. APPROVE the 2025-26 allocation of administrative funds up to the following amounts within Proposition K Fund No. 43M, for a total amount of \$561,953, as set forth in Attachment 7 of said LAFKSC Report:
 - a. Department of Public Works (PW) / Bureau of Engineering (BOE): \$214,290
 - b. PW / Board / Office of Accounting: \$165,623
 - c. Office of the City Administrative Officer (CAO): \$144,101
 - d. Office of the Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA): \$37,939
7. INSTRUCT the Information Technology Agency (ITA) to prepare the assessment file containing the assessment levy information confirmed by the City Council for 2025-26 for each parcel in the Assessment District, and make it available for the BOE to verify and transmit to the County of Los Angeles.

8. AUTHORIZE the City Engineer to negotiate and execute Proposition K grant agreements with grantees that are recommended for 2025-26 project allocations, as detailed in Attachment 1 of said LAFKSC Report, except for competitive projects awarded to City departments, subject to approval of the City Attorney.

9. AUTHORIZE the Controller to:

a. Set up accounts for the 2025-26 Proposition K assessment within the following Funds, pursuant to instructions to be provided by the CAO:

i. Proposition K Projects Fund No. 43K

ii. Proposition K Maintenance Fund No. 43L

iii. Proposition K Administration Fund No. 43M

b. Increase the 2025-26 appropriations for the ITA by \$50,000 from Proposition K Fund No. 43K/10, Account No. (TBD) – ITA, to Fund No. 100/32, Account No. 9350 – Communication Service Request.

c. Transfer cash from Proposition K Fund No. 43K/10, on an as-needed basis upon proper documentation from the ITA and approval by the BOE Program Managers and the CAO.

d. Transfer assessment revenues to the appropriate Program Funds in the approximate percentage distribution, and up to the amounts reflected below, for a Year 29 total amount of \$25,000,000:

i. Proposition K Fund No. 43K/10 – Capital Projects;
Distribution: 78.65 percent; Year 29 Amount:
\$19,663,131

ii. Proposition K Fund No. 43L/10 – Maintenance;
Distribution: 19.10 percent; Year 29 Amount:
\$4,774,916

iii. Proposition K Fund No. 43M/10 – Administration;
Distribution: 2.25 percent; Year 29 Amount:
\$561,953

10. INSTRUCT the City Engineer, CAO, CLA, and Board of Public Works, Office of Accounting to submit journal voucher requests supported by documentation of work order charges for program administrative and project construction management staffing costs to the CAO.
11. INSTRUCT the Department of General Services (GSD) and the Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) to submit expenditure reports to the BOE and the CAO on a monthly basis.
12. AUTHORIZE the City Engineer to make technical corrections to the City Engineer's Report, attached to the Council file, to reflect the intent of those transactions.
13. AUTHORIZE the CAO to make funding adjustments and prepare technical adjustments as needed to fully commit annual assessment funds and carry out the intent of this Council action; and, authorize the Controller to implement those technical adjustments.
14. AUTHORIZE the Controller, pursuant to instructions to be provided by the CAO, to revert residual funds within Proposition K Funds to the originating Proposition K project accounts for projects completed by the GSD and the RAP as part of ongoing project closeout activities.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The LAFKSC reports that adoption of an Ordinance and Resolution of Intention to Levy the Proposition K: L.A. for Kids Assessment for FY 2025-26 indicates the Council's intention to levy assessments totaling \$25 million to acquire and construct park and recreational and cultural facilities serving youth. The assessment can only be used for capital improvements, acquisition of land, Proposition K Program administration and maintenance of completed

projects. The operating cost for Proposition K projects completed in future years will be funded by the annual operating budget adopted for City departments and with independent funding for projects operated by external entities. For FY 2025-26, \$5.33 million in General Fund reimbursements are anticipated for program administration (\$0.56 million) and maintenance (\$4.77 million), as identified in the findings, Section 3 of said LAFKSC Report.

The RAP reports that an annual fiscal impact of \$6.54 million once active Proposition K projects, currently in various stages of development, become operational over future years. This includes \$2.24 million for maintenance and \$4.30 million for operations, as detailed in Attachments 5 and 6 of said LAFKSC Report. The fiscal impact for completed projects will be partially offset by Proposition K maintenance funding. However, this annual offset will no longer be available once the Proposition K Program ends in 2026-27. In 2024-25, the RAP will receive an award of \$4.1 million for current year maintenance awards, as detailed in Attachment 9 of said LAFKSC Report.

Financial Policies Statement: The LAFKSC reports that the recommended actions comply with the City's Financial Policies as one-time revenues are being used for one-time projects.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

(Pursuant to Council action of April 30, 2025)

Items for which Public Hearings Have Been Held

(2) **15-0989-S45**

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE 2028 OLYMPICS AND PARALYMPIC GAMES REPORT relative to requesting the Games Mobility Executives group, City departments, and related stakeholders to work with the Department of Disability (DOD) and the Civil and Human Rights Enforcement Department (CHRED) to develop an Accessibility Plan that will ensure compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and enhanced services at Games-related sites.

Recommendations for Council action, pursuant to Motion (Padilla – Rodriguez):

REQUEST the Games Mobility Executives group, Bureau of Engineering, Bureau of Street Services, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro), Los Angeles Department of Transportation, Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP), and related stakeholders to:

- a. Work with the DOD and the CHRED to develop an Accessibility Plan, in the next 90 days, that will ensure ADA compliance and enhanced services at Games-related sites.
- b. Adjust a plan for the City as facility use agreements are consolidated.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

(3) **15-0989-S46**

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE 2028 OLYMPICS AND PARALYMPIC GAMES REPORT relative to requesting the Olympic Organizing Committee (LA28) and the Department of Recreation and Parks (RAP) to develop a Basin LA28 Access Plan that ensures maximum public accessibility, and develops alternatives for public open spaces that can be used during the period where LA28 is utilizing the existing space.

Recommendation for Council action, pursuant to Motion (Padilla – Blumenfield):

REQUEST the LA28 to work with the RAP to develop a Basin LA28 Access Plan that ensures maximum public accessibility, and develops alternatives for public open spaces that can be used during the period where LA28 is utilizing the existing space.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this

report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

(4) **15-0989-S19**

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE 2028 OLYMPICS AND PARALYMPIC GAMES REPORT relative to the Department of Recreation and Parks' (RAP) 2023-24 Revised Project Plan for the City's Youth Sport Partnership (YSP) Program.

Recommendations for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. APPROVE the RAP 2023-24 Revised Project Plan as detailed in the Board of Recreation and Park Commissioners report dated February 6, 2025, attached to Council file No. 15-0989-S22, relating to the YSP Agreement.
2. INSTRUCT the City Administrative Officer (CAO) to prepare Controller instructions necessary for the appropriation and expenditure of funds from the YSP Fund (Fund No. 63K), up to the amounts approved for the 2023-24 Revised Project Plan and previously approved annual project plans, in accordance with the YSP Agreement; and, authorize the Controller to implement those instructions.
3. AUTHORIZE the CAO to make technical corrections or adjustments that may be required and are consistent with this City Council and Mayoral action.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The Chief Legislative Analyst (CLA) and CAO report that there is no impact on the General Fund. Funding is provided by the YSP Fund (Fund No. 63K), which receives funding pursuant to the YSP Agreement (C-136936) between the City and the Los Angeles Organizing Committee for the 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games. The RAP incurs separate operational and maintenance costs to implement the Signature Sport programs included in the 2023-24 Revised Project Plan. These separate costs are absorbed within the RAP's 2023-24 budget.

Financial Policies Statement: The CLA and CAO report that approval of the recommendations in the report complies with the City's Financial Policies as sufficient funds are available to support the proposed expenditures in the 2023-24 Revised Project Plan.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

(5) **25-0512**

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT relative to a transfer of funds to ensure enough funding is available within the Los Angeles Police Department's (LAPD) Overtime General Account to meet payroll and operational needs.

Recommendations for Council action, pursuant to Motion (Lee – Blumenfield). SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. AUTHORIZE the Controller to transfer \$3,159,433 within the Police Department Fund No. 100/70 as detailed in the Motion attached to Council file No. 25-0512.
2. AUTHORIZE the LAPD to prepare Controller instructions for any technical adjustments, subject to the approval of the City Administrative Officer (CAO); and, REQUEST the Controller to implement the instructions.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the CAO nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

(6) **25-0533**

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT relative to a request for closed session to discuss appropriations for outside counsel and contract amendments.

Recommendations for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. REVERT \$987,372.06 from City Attorney Fund No. 100/12, Account No. 009301 from the contracts and in the respective amounts listed in the City Attorney report dated May 14, 2025, attached to Council file No. 25-0533.
2. REVERT \$30,499.85 from City Attorney Fund No. 100/12, Account No. 009302 from the contracts and in the respective amounts listed in said report.
3. REAPPROPRIATE the reverted funds in the amount of \$1,017,871.91, identified in Recommendation Nos. 1 and 2 above, therewith AMEND the contracts and in the respective amounts, listed in said report.
4. DISENCUMBER unused funds from the five contracts listed in said report in the current fiscal year (FY 2025), Fund No. 100/12, Account No. 009301 to fund the contracts numerated in Recommendation No. 5 in said City Attorney report.
5. REAPPROPRIATE the funding identified in Recommendation No. 4 above, and AMEND the contracts listed in said report, to add funding the respective amounts listed in said report.
6. REQUEST the City Administrative Officer (CAO) to identify funding in the amount of \$450,000 and transfer that amount to Fund No. 100/12, Account No. 009301 – Outside Counsel, by way of the Year End Financial Status Report in order to AMEND the contracts and in the respective amounts listed in said report.
7. REQUEST authority to enter into a contract with Burke Williams Sorensen, LLP in the amount of \$135,000, for a two-year term, to assist in the Culotti case; and, REQUEST that the CAO identify funding in this amount for this contract and transfer it to Fund No. 100/12, Account No. 009301 – Outside Counsel, by way of the Year End Financial Status Report.

8. REQUEST authority to amend Contract No. C-140486 with Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley, to extend its term to May 10, 2027.
9. AUTHORIZE the City Attorney, or designee, to prepare Controller instructions for any necessary technical adjustments, subject to the approval of the CAO, and authorize the Controller to implement the instructions.

(The City Council may recess to Closed Session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel.)

Fiscal Impact Statement: None submitted by the City Attorney. Neither the CAO nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

TIME LIMIT FILE - JULY 14, 2025

(LAST DAY FOR COUNCIL ACTION - JULY 1, 2025)

(7) **25-0591**

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT relative to amending the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) to pause the Department of Cannabis Regulation (DCR) from conducting any Phase 3 Round 3 retail license lottery in 2025.

Recommendations for Council action, as initiated by Motion (Padilla – Price):

1. INSTRUCT the DCR to PAUSE conducting any Phase 3 Round 3 retail license lottery in 2025.
2. REQUEST the City Attorney to prepare and present an Ordinance to amend LAMC Chapter X, Article 4 to pause the DCR from conducting any Phase 3 Round 3 retail license lottery

in 2025.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(8) **25-0418**

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT relative to the 2024 Comprehensive Fee Study to achieve full cost recovery for commercial cannabis application, licensing, inspection, and regulatory services.

Recommendations for Council action:

1. APPROVE amending Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) Section 104.19 to revise fees and fines as detailed in the April 29, 2025 Department of Cannabis Regulation (DCR) report, attached to the Council File.
2. INSTRUCT the City Administrative Officer (CAO), DCR, and REQUEST the City Attorney to report on the feasibility of exempting Social Equity applicants from the increased fees, as detailed above in Recommendation No. 1 and the April 29, 2025 DCR report, attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the DCR to:
 - a. Extend the Social Equity Program to 2031.
 - b. Report with a detailed review on how it processes applications and fees from the time they are received to the time their completion is communicated with the applicant with said report to include:
 - i. The tools that the DCR uses to stay organized and communicate with the other Departments involved in the processing of these applications.

- ii. Estimated processing times for each application and fee, and recommendations for improving processing times.
- c. Report with a detailed review of how and when it utilizes its fine schedule, as well as:
 - i. The total number of fines issued each year for the past five years.
 - ii. The average number of fines issued to a business for the past five years.
 - iii. Explanation of DCR procedures to ensure businesses do not repeat offending.
 - iv. Explanation of how funds received from violations are ultimately utilized by the DCR.
- 4. REQUEST the City Attorney to prepare and present an Ordinance to amend LAMC Section 104.03 (i) – Processing Time Frames, to strike through the line that reads “Processing timeframes shall be automatically suspended when DCR’s personnel vacancy rate is 20% or higher.”
- 5. REQUEST the City Attorney, with the assistance of the DCR, to prepare and present an Ordinance to amend LAMC Section 104.00 et seq. consistent with the recommendations of the Government Operations Committee.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

The DCR reports that approving the recommendations in this report complies with the City's financial policies inasmuch as the proposed fees for special services for commercial cannabis application, licensing, inspection, and regulatory services are set at full cost recovery and all eligible costs will be fully covered by the associated fees for special services. Approval of the proposed fees will increase revenue in the Cannabis Regulation Special Revenue Trust Fund by

approximately \$7.8M million FY 2025-26. If the fees for special services are not set at full cost recovery, General Fund monies will need to be appropriated to subsidize the DCR's cost of providing special services.

The CAO reports that there is no fiscal impact associated with the recommendation to note and file the May 28, 2025 CAO report, attached to the Council File.

Financial Policies Statement:

The CAO reports that the May 28, 2025 CAO report, attached to the Council File, is in compliance with the City's Financial Policies.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(9) **25-0600-S26**

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS and BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEES REPORT relative to amending the Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2025-26 to restore funding and positions in the Department of General Services (GSD) to be offset by funding from the Sewer Construction and Maintenance Fund.

Recommendation for Council action, pursuant to Motion (Price - Hutt):

AMEND the Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2025-26 to restore \$1,070,023 funding and eight positions in the GSD, including one Storekeeper, one Senior Storekeeper, one Materials Testing Engineer I, one Heavy Duty Mechanic, one Procurement Analyst II, and three Warehouse and Toolroom Workers Is, and offset by the deletion of one Supply Services Payment Clerk, and the decrease in funding from the Sewer Operations & Maintenance Fund as noted in the accounts and amounts referenced in the Motion, attached to the Council file.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(10) **25-0600-S30**

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS and BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEES REPORT relative to amending the Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2025-26 to restore funding and five positions in the Department of General Services (GSD) for the Special Services Group to be offset by reduction in the postage account.

Recommendation for Council action, pursuant to Motion (Price - Nazarian):

AMEND the Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2025-26 to restore \$588,594 funding and five positions in the GSD, including one Senior Management Analyst II, one Senior Management Analyst I, two Management Analysts, and one Administrative Clerk, to be offset by reduction in the GSD postage account as noted in the accounts and amounts referenced in the Motion, attached to the Council File.

Fiscal Impact Statement: Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(11) **24-1447**

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS and BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEES REPORT and ORDINANCE FIRST CONSIDERATION relative to amending the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) to exclude State excise taxes from the definition of gross receipts for cannabis related businesses.

Recommendation for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

PRESENT and ADOPT the accompanying ORDINANCE dated March 28, 2025 amending Subdivision 4 of Subsection (a) of Section 21.51 of Article 1 of Chapter II of the LAMC to exclude State excise taxes from the definition of gross receipts for cannabis related businesses.

Fiscal Impact Statement: None submitted by the City Attorney. Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(12) **25-0546**
CD 14

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT relative to a Lease Agreement with 919 Soto LLC for a Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) Customer Service Center located at 919 South Soto Street, No. 10.

Recommendations for Council action:

1. APPROVE the LADWP Resolution authorizing the LADWP to execute a 10-year lease agreement with 919 Soto, LLC for the continued operation of a Customer Service Center at 919 S. Soto Street, No. 10, Los Angeles, California, 90023, at a total estimated cost of \$441,589 over the full term.
2. AUTHORIZE and DIRECT, the Chief Accounting Employee, LADWP, to draw demands on the Power Revenue Fund, upon proper certification, for costs incurred under the proposed lease over the term of the agreement.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The City Administrative Officer (CAO) reports that approval of the lease agreement for LADWP's Customer Service Center at 919 South Soto Street, Los Angeles, California, 90023, will not have an impact on the General Fund. The total estimated cost of the lease agreement over the 10-year term is \$441,589 and will be funded from LADWP's Power Revenue Fund.

Financial Policies Statement: The CAO reports that the above recommendations comply with LADWP's adopted Financial Policies.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

TIME LIMIT FILE - JUNE 16, 2025

(LAST DAY FOR COUNCIL ACTION - JUNE 13, 2025)

Items for which Public Hearings Have Not Been Held - (10 Votes Required for Consideration)

(13) **25-0005-S69**
CD 14

COMMUNICATION FROM THE LOS ANGELES HOUSING DEPARTMENT (LAHD) and RESOLUTION relative to removing the property at 750 South Olive Street (Case No. 666439), Assessor I.D. No. 5144-013-027, from the Rent Escrow Account Program (REAP).

Recommendation for Council action:

APPROVE the LAHD report recommendation dated June 2, 2025, attached to the Council file, and ADOPT the accompanying RESOLUTION removing the property at 750 South Olive Street (Case No. 666439), Assessor I.D. No. 5144-013-027, from the REAP.

Fiscal Impact Statement: None submitted by the LAHD. Neither the City Administrative Officer nor the Chief Legislative Analyst has completed a financial analysis of this report.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted.

(14) **25-0011-S7**
CD 15

MOTION (McOSKER - HUTT) relative to funding for labor costs related to a ceremonial sign ceremony in Council District (CD) 15.

Recommendations for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. TRANSFER and APPROPRIATE \$1,682.19 in the CD 15 portion of the Council Discretionary Street Furniture Fund No. 43D/50 to the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) Fund No. 100/94, Account No. 001090 (Salaries, Overtime), for labor costs related to a ceremonial sign ceremony in CD 15.
2. AUTHORIZE the LADOT to make any technical corrections or clarifications to the above fund transfer instructions in order to effectuate the intent of this Motion.

(15) **22-0010-S21**

MOTION (BLUMENFIELD - LEE) relative reinstating a reward offer in the unsolved murder of Ok Ja Kim (Council action of October 25, 2022, Council file No. 22-0010-S21) for an additional period of six months.

Recommendation for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

REAFFIRM Council's findings and REINSTATE the reward offer relative to the unsolved murder of Ok Ja Kim (Council action of October 25, 2022, Council file No. 22-0010-S21) for an additional period of six months from the publication of the renewed reward offer of reward by the City Clerk; and, further, that the sum of \$50,000 shall be the aggregate maximum sum of any payments or payments of a City reward in this instance.

(16) **13-0586**

COMMUNICATION FROM CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER (CAO) relative to a proposed a Sixth Amendment to Contract No. C-124676 between the Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) and Modaxo Traffic Management USA, Inc. (formerly Conduent State and Local Solutions, Inc.), for parking citation processing and collection services.

Recommendation for Council action:

APPROVE the proposed Sixth Amendment to Contract No. C-124676 with Modaxo Traffic Management USA, Inc. (formerly known as Conduent State and Local Solutions) to continue parking citation processing and collection services with a 2.8 percent compensation increase for three months, retroactive from December 26, 2024 to March 25, 2025, with the option to extend month-to-month for up to six months until a new contract is executed, subject to the approval of the City Attorney as to form.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

The CAO reports that the cost to provide parking citation and permit processing services under the existing contract is approximately \$1,200,000 per month. The proposed 2.8 percent increase in citation processing costs would result in an estimated \$23,000 of additional costs per month. \$12.7 million from the General Fund is budgeted in

LADOT's Contractual Services Account in the 2024-25 Adopted Budget. There may be a General Fund impact should actual costs exceed budgeted funds. Should this amendment not be approved, the City will not collect parking citation revenue until an alternative method of collection is identified.

Financial Policies Statement:

The CAO also reports that the recommendation in this report complies with the City Financial Policies in that budgeted funds are available for this purpose.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

TIME LIMIT FILE - JUNE 17, 2025

(LAST DAY FOR COUNCIL ACTION - JUNE 17, 2025)

(17) **25-0600**

CONSIDERATION OF THE MAYOR'S VETO MESSAGE, upon receipt, pursuant to Charter Section 315. The Council has five days, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, upon receipt of the Mayor's veto to overcome such action of the Mayor relative to any item or items of the budget.

10 VOTES REQUIRED TO OVERRIDE

(The Council has until June 13, 2025, to overcome such action of the Mayor relative to any item or items of the Budget, pursuant to Charter Section 315.)

(18) **20-0563
CD 9**

MOTION (PRICE - SOTO-MARTINEZ) and RESOLUTION relative to the issuance of Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, in an amount not to exceed \$18,503,750, to finance the new construction of the 57-unit multifamily housing project known as Main Street Apartments (Project) located at 100 West 55th Street (also known as 5501 and 5511 South Main Street) in Council District Nine (CD 9).

Recommendations for Council action, SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MAYOR:

1. CONSIDER the results of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 (TEFRA) public hearing held on April 17, 2025, attached to the Motion, for the Main Street Apartments.
2. ADOPT the accompanying TEFRA RESOLUTION, attached to the Motion, approving the issuance of bonds in an amount not to exceed \$18,503,750 for the new construction of the 57-unit multifamily housing Project located at 100 West 55th Street (also known as 5501 and 5511 South Main Street) in CD 9.

Items Called Special

Motions for Posting and Referral

Council Members' Requests for Excuse from Attendance at Council Meetings

Closed Session

(19) **25-0470**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Brandy Menard, et al., v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV14878. (This matter arises from an automobile versus motorcycle accident that occurred on June 7, 2020, at the intersection of 6th Avenue and West Martin Luther King Boulevard, in the city of Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(20) **25-0455**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Santos Orellana, et al., v. Johnnie Charles Wilson, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV16264. (This matter arises from a traffic collision that occurred on September 18, 2018, on Jefferson Boulevard at St. Andrews Place, in the city of Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(21) **25-0460**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Bianca Irene Arussi Placais v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 23VECV00321. (This matter arises from a trip and fall incident on March 8, 2022 on the street located at 17244 Welby Way, in the city of Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(22) **25-0466**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Jim Potepan v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV28776. (This matter arises from a trip and fall incident on March 24, 2022, at Silver Lake Dog Park, in the city of Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(23) **25-0459**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Jesus Sandoval, et al., v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV04291. (This matter arises from a vehicle versus vehicle traffic accident on February 9, 2021, on Lankershim Boulevard near Haddon Avenue, Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(24) **25-0464**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Mark Sayegh v. Jesus Carrillo, Jr., et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 23CHCV03924. (This matter arises from a motor vehicle accident on White Canyons Road in Santa Clarita, California, on December 20, 2022.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(25) **25-0452**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Diana Vigil v. City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 23VECV00623. (This matter arises from a trip and fall incident on February 15, 2022, on the sidewalk located on the 22000 block of West Victory Boulevard, between Glade Avenue and Topanga Canyon Boulevard, in the city of Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(26) **25-0368
CD 4**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Suzanne Carney v. City of Los Angeles, et.al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV36219. (The requested closed session relates to property damage resulting from an alleged sewer backup located at 2298 Ronda Vista Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90027.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(27) **25-0339**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal

counsel relative to the case entitled Elizabeth Greenwood v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV02469. (This is an employment litigation case against the City of Los Angeles.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(28) **25-0465**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled T. L. v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV24129. (This matter arises from a claim by plaintiff T.L. that he was sexually abused by former City of Los Angeles employee, Tony Wong, from 1979 to 1981.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(29) **25-0461**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Herbert Orlando Allen, Jr. v. Marin Alfredo Contreras, et al., United States District Court Case No. 2:21-cv-07765-GW-GJS. (This matter arises from an April 18, 2021 incident that occurred at a 7-11 store in Hollywood, California.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the above matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(30) **25-0457**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Anthony Doyen v. City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 569363. (This matter arises from the 2014 non-selection of Sergeant Anthony Doyen to the open supervisor position in Los Angeles Police Department Bomb Squad.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(31) **25-0273**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled Florence Medical Center, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 24STCV06848. (This case arises from a claim for a refund of Business License Tax assessments.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered the matter in closed session on June 3, 2025.)

(32) **12-0303-S5**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel to discuss pending litigation and settlement proposals in the cases of Fix the City v. City of Los Angeles (Case No. BS138580); La Mirada Neighborhood Association v. City of Los Angeles (Case No. BS138369); SaveHollywood.org v. City of Los Angeles (BS138370); Voters for a Superior Hollywood Plan v. City of Los Angeles (Case No. 23STCP01968); Laurel Canyon Association v. City of Los Angeles (Case No. 23STCP01972); and Fix the City v. City of Los Angeles (Case No. 23STCP01978).

(The Planning and Land Use Management and Budget and Finance Committees considered this matter in closed session.)

(33) **17-0713-S1**

The City Council shall recess to Closed Session, pursuant to Government Code Section No. 54956.9(d)(1), to confer with its legal counsel relative to the case entitled United States of America ex rel. Mei Ling and Fair Housing Council of San Fernando Valley v. City of Los Angeles, United States District Court Case: CV-11-00974 JFW (JCx). (This matter involves the qui tam plaintiffs' claims for attorney fees and costs in connection with the settlement of the above-referenced litigation.)

(The Budget and Finance Committee considered this matter on June 3, 2025.)

Adjourning Motions

Council Adjournment

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES - If you challenge a City action in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the City Clerk at or prior to, the public hearing. Any written correspondence delivered to the City Clerk before the City Council's final action on a matter will become a part of the administrative record.

CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 1094.5 - If a Council action is subject to judicial challenge pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5, be advised that the time to file a lawsuit challenging a final action by the City Council is limited by Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6 which provides that the lawsuit must be filed no later than the 90th day following the date on which the Council's action becomes final.

Materials relative to items on this agenda can be obtained from the Office of the City Clerk's Council File Management System, at lacouncilfile.com by entering the Council File number listed immediately following the item number (e.g., 00-0000).