clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 26-1238

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com> Mon, Nov 2, 2026 at 11:15 PM
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, wncseat18@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website.Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

******** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. ********

Contact Information
Neighborhood Council: Westside
Name: Joseph Roth
Email: wncseat18@gmail.com
The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(12) Nay(0) Abstain(1) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)
Date of NC Board Action: 03/12/2026
Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information
Date: 11/03/2026
Update to a Previous Input: No
Directed To: City Council and Committees
Council File Number: 26-1238
City Planning Number:
Agenda Date:
Item Number:
Summary: Consistent with previous votes, the Westside Neighborhood Council (WNC) SUPPORTS CF-26-1238 and STRONGLY RECOMMENDS that the City Council's Ad Hoc Committee on Charter Review reconsider CRC 26, the Charter Reform Commission's proposal to establish an independent Citywide Inspector General with meaningful authority to investigate misconduct, corruption, and abuse of power within City departments and by City officials. As highlighted in the Los Angeles City Ethics Commission's November 2023 report, the City lacks a centralized, independent oversight office capable of investigating serious allegations of misconduct, particularly when departmental leadership is implicated.

This critical oversight gap leaves Angelenos without an effective avenue for independent investigation and accountability. WNC urges the Committee to advance a Charter amendment establishing an independent Inspector General with subpoena powers, unrestricted access to relevant City records, whistleblower protections, and authority to refer potential criminal violations to appropriate prosecutorial agencies. No City department should be solely responsible for investigating serious allegations of misconduct against its own leadership. Independent oversight is essential to accountability, transparency, due process, and restoring public trust in City government